other useful work among villagers. But Government turned down the proposal for the reason that the Inspectress of Girls' Schools could herself do that work and therefore the appointment of a separate lecturer was unnecessary. Subsequently, however, even the post of the Assistant Inspectress had been abolished, so that women in the villages had lost all opportunities of learning so many necessary things about hygiene, care of children, etc., and coming into contact with properly selected educated women. Even now it was necessary to revive the appointment and if the Government found that finances did not permit of the additional expenditure necessary on this account, they might at least appoint an Inspectress against an existing vacancy in the men inspectorate.

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION explained that the Inspectress' post had been abolished because it was found to be unnecessary. But if a duly qualified lady was available, he would have no difficulty in recommending her being appointed as District Educational Officer.

MRS. KAMALAMMA DASAPPA then added that the Mysore State Women's Conference had a scheme under which an Inspectress or lecturer, if appointed, would do a lot of useful work in the villages.

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION replied that the scheme might be considered on its merits after its publication.

At this stage, the House adjourned at 5 p.m. to meet again at 8 a.m. the next day.

SEVENTH DAY.

Friday, 26th October 1934.

The House reassembled at 8 A.M.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS—*Concld.*

Auctioning of Lands for Arrears of Land Revenue.

MR. K. RUDRAPPA (Devanhalli Taluk):—

Q.—2. Will the Government be pleased to state: —

(*a*) In how many cases throughout the State during the last three years have lands belonging to raiyats been sold in auction for the realisation of arrears of land revenue?

(*b*) In how many cases have lands sold in auction been knocked down to others and confirmed to them?

(*c*) In how many cases have lands sold in auction been purchased by Government for want of bids and included in the *banjar* list?

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—2. (*a*) 1,44,173.

(*b*) 6,305.

(*c*) 44,640.

MR. K. RUDRAPPA.—With reference to answer to (*b*), may I know if the raiyats have not been adversely affected?

DEWAN.—This is not the time for discussion.

Particulars of Breached Minor Tanks in Bangalore District.

MESSRS. M. LINGE GOWDA and S. KARIAPPA (Kankanhalli Taluk).

Q.—5. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The total number of minor tanks in the Bangalore District (to be given talukwar)?

(*b*) The total number of breached tanks in disrepair in the Bangalore District (to be given talukwar)?

(*c*) The number of minor tanks repaired during the last ten years by clearance of silt (taluk, year, number and cost are to be given)?

(*d*) The number of breached minor tanks repaired during the last ten years (taluk, year, number and cost are to be given)?

(*e*) The number of new minor tanks built during the last ten years (taluk, year, number and cost are to be given)?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—5. (*a*) 1,501 as per statement attached (*vide* Appendix).